

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/595,666 | KOJI, NANIWADA | |

| | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| DEAN O. TAKAOKA | 2817 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) DEAN O. TAKAOKA.

(3) _____.

(2) DAVID R. METZGER.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 March 2009
Time: 1:15pm
Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4, 7

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner noted informalities in claims 4 and 7 not addressed in the previous Office actions. It was agreed to amend claims 4 and 7 by Examiners amendment in order to place the claims in condition for allowance. The Examiner also noted Fig. 10 still required a "prior art" label. Applicant agreed to submit a replacement sheet on or before payment of the issue fee.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Assent/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

